e pri car mait trough to decreed

៊ីការូសអាម៉ាន់ស N.ស.សន់ឡើយ ។ កំពុលនិយាកការសម

- INFORMATION FILED: October 5, 1951, Southern District of Indiana, against the Shelby Blue River Farms, Inc., Shelbyville, Ind.
- ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about March 23, 25, 28, 29, and 31, and April 4, 1951, from the State of Indiana into the States of South Carolina and Ohio.
- LABEL, IN PART: "Blue River Farms Shelbyville, Ind. Condensed Skim,"
 "Non-Fat Dry Milk Solids," and "Blue River Farms * * * Cream."
- NATURE OF CHARGE: Adulteration, Section 402 (a) (3), the articles consisted in part of filthy substances since they had been prepared from filthy milk.
- Disposition: January 18, 1952. A plea of nolo contendere having been entered, the court imposed a fine of \$300.

FEEDS*

- 18673. Adulteration and misbranding of peanut meal. U. S. v. Greenwood Products Co. Plea of nolo contendere. Fine, \$200. (F. D. C. No. 31251. Sample No. 196-L.)
- INFORMATION FILED: October 9, 1951, Northern District of Florida, against the Greenwood Products Co., a corporation, Graceville, Fla.
- ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about December 11, 1950, from the State of Florida into the State of Maryland.
- LABEL, IN PART: (Tag) "45% Peanut Meal Greenwood Products Company Graceville, Florida Guaranteed Analysis Crude Protein, not less than 45%."
- NATURE OF CHARGE: Adulteration, Section 402 (b) (1), a valuable constituent of the article, protein, had been in part omitted.
 - Misbranding, Section 403 (a), the statements "45% Peanut Meal" and "Guaranteed Analysis Crude Protein, not less than 45%" borne on the tag were false and misleading since the article contained less than 45% of crude protein.
- DISPOSITION: April 9, 1952. A plea of nolo contendere having been entered, the court sentenced the defendant to pay a fine of \$200.
- 18674. Adulteration and misbranding of soybean meal. U. S. v. Thomson Soya Mill. Plea of nolo contendere. Fine of \$200, plus costs. (F. D. C. No. 31272. Sample No. 39806-K.)
- INFORMATION FILED: December 3, 1951, District of Kansas, against the Thomson Soya Mill, a partnership, Hiawatha, Kans.
- ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about January 3, 1951, from the State of Kansas into the State of Oklahoma.
- LABEL, IN PART: (Bag) "44% Soy Bean Meal Manufactured By Thomson Soya Mill Hiawatha, Kansas Guaranteed Analysis: Crude Protein 44%."
- NATURE OF CHARGE: Adulteration, Section 402 (b) (1), a valuable constituent, protein, had been in part omitted from the article.
 - Misbranding, Section 403 (a), the statements "44% Soy Bean Meal" and "Guaranteed Analysis: Crude Protein 44%" borne on the bags were false and misleading since the article contained less than 44% of protein.
- DISPOSITION: January 21, 1952. A plea of nolo contendere having been entered, the court imposed a fine of \$200, plus costs, against the defendant.

^{*}See also No. 18700.